

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,583	OOTA, AKIHIRO	
	Examiner John B. Strege	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) John B. Strege.

(3) _____.

(2) Josephine Chang.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1,3,12, and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested to the Applicant to amend the independent claims reflect that the interpolated pixels are given a weight less than the non-interpolated pixels since this would overcome the art of record, whereas solely stating that the weight of the surrounding pixels of the position are assigned a weight of 1 is not sufficient to reflect this. The Applicant's representative spoke with her clients and agreed to make the suggested changes thus putting the application in condition for allowance.